

# Customs Bulletin

Regulations, Rulings, Decisions, and Notices  
concerning Customs and related matters



## and Decisions

of the United States Court of Customs and  
Patent Appeals and the United States  
Customs Court

Vol. 8

---

MAY 29, 1974

---

No. 22

*This issue contains*

T.D. 74-150 through 74-155

C.D. 4534 through 4537

Protest abstracts P74/269 through P74/293

Tariff Commission Notice

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY  
U.S. Customs Service

# Customs Bulletin

Regulations, Rulings, Decisions, and Notices  
concerning Customs and related matters

## and Decisions

of the United States Court of Customs and  
Patent Appeals and the United States

### Customs Court NOTICE

The abstracts, rulings, and notices which are issued weekly by the U.S. Customs Service are subject to correction for typographical or other printing errors. Users may notify the U.S. Customs Service, Facilities Management Division, Washington, D.C. 20229, of any such errors in order that corrections may be made before the bound volumes are published.

# U.S. Customs Service

(T.D. 74-150)

## *Foreign currencies—Certification of rates*

Rates of exchange certified to the Secretary of the Treasury by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
Washington, D.C., May 7, 1974.

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to section 522(c), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (31 U.S.C. 372(c)), has certified the following rates of exchange which varied by 5 per centum or more from the quarterly rate published in Treasury Decision 74-124 for the following country. Therefore, as to entries covering merchandise exported on the dates listed, whenever it is necessary for Customs purposes to convert such currency into currency of the United States, conversion shall be at the following daily rates:

### Portugal escudo:

April 30, 1974	-----	\$0. 0434
May 1, 1974	-----	. 0403*
May 2, 1974	-----	. 0425
May 3, 1974	-----	. 0403*

(LIQ-3-O:D:T)

R. N. MARRA,  
Director,  
Duty Assessment Division.

\*Use quarterly rate shown.  
Rate did not vary.

[Published in the Federal Register May 17, 1974 (39 FR 17570)]

(T.D. 74-151)

*Foreign currencies—Daily rates for countries not on quarterly list*

Rates of exchange certified to the Secretary of the Treasury by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for the Hong Kong dollar, Iran rial, Philippine peso, Singapore dollar, Thailand baht (tical)

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
*Washington, D.C., May 6, 1974.*

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to section 522(c), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (31 U.S.C. 372(c)), has certified buying rates in U.S. dollars for the dates and foreign currencies shown below. These rates of exchange are published for the information and use of Customs officers and others concerned pursuant to Part 159, Subpart C, Customs Regulations (19 CFR, Part 159, Subpart C).

**Hong Kong dollar:**

April 22, 1974.....	\$0. 1970
April 23, 1974.....	. 1975
April 24, 1974.....	. 1970
April 25, 1974.....	. 1970
April 26, 1974.....	. 1975

**Iran rial:**

For the period April 22 through April 26, 1974, rate of \$0.0149.

**Philippines peso:**

April 22, 1974.....	\$0. 1480
April 23, 1974.....	. 1480
April 24, 1974.....	. 1495
April 25, 1974.....	. 1495
April 26, 1974.....	. 1480

**Singapore dollar:**

April 22, 1974.....	\$0. 4140
April 23, 1974.....	. 4150
April 24, 1974.....	. 4140
April 25, 1974.....	. 4160
April 26, 1974.....	. 4140

Thailand baht (tical) :

For the period April 22 through April 26, 1974, rate  
of \$0.0495.

(LIQ-3-O:D:T:)

R. N. MARRA,  
*Director,*  
*Duty Assessment Division.*

(T.D. 74-152)

*Ports of entry—Customs Regulations amended*

Changes in the Customs Field Organization, section 1.2(c), Customs Regulations,  
amended.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
*Washington, D.C., May 10, 1974.*

TITLE 19—CUSTOMS DUTIES

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE

PART 1—GENERAL PROVISIONS

On February 5, 1974, a notice of a proposal to revoke the Customs port of entry designation of South Haven, Michigan, in the Detroit, Michigan, Customs district (Region IX) was published in the Federal Register (39 FR 4580). There were no comments received in response to the notice.

Accordingly, by virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2), and delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to authority provided by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 9 (38 FR 17517), the designation of South Haven, Michigan, as a Customs port of entry is hereby revoked.

To reflect this change, the table in section 1.2(c) of the Customs Regulations is amended by deleting "South Haven (E.O. 7632, June 15, 1937; 2 F.R. 1042)." from the column headed "Ports of entry" in the Detroit, Michigan, Customs district (Region IX).

(Sec. 1, 37 Stat. 434, Sec. 1, 38 Stat. 623, as amended; 19 U.S.C. 1, 2)

*Effective date.* This amendment shall be effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

(ADM-9-03)

DAVID R. MACDONALD,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*

[Published in the Federal Register May 17, 1974 (39 FR 17539)]

(T.D. 74-153)

*Manmade fiber textiles—Restriction on entry*

Restriction on entry of manmade fiber textile products in category 224 manufactured or produced in the Republic of China

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
*Washington, D.C., May 14, 1974.*

There is published below the directive of May 6, 1974, received by the Commissioner of Customs from the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, concerning the restriction on entry into the United States for manmade fiber textile products in category 224, manufactured or produced in the Republic of China. This directive amends but does not cancel that Committee's directive of September 26, 1973 (T.D. 73-282).

This directive was published in the Federal Register on May 9, 1974 (39 FR 16510), by the Committee.

(QUO-2-1)

J. D. COLEMAN,  
*Acting Director,*  
*Duty Assessment Division.*

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

*May 6, 1974.*

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS  
*Department of the Treasury*  
*Washington, D.C. 20229*

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER:

On September 26, 1973, the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, directed you to prohibit entry during the twelve-month period beginning October 1, 1973 of wool and man-made fiber textile products in certain specified categories, produced or manufactured in the Republic of China, in excess of desig-

nated levels of restraint. The Chairman further advised you that the levels of restraint are subject to adjustment.<sup>1</sup>

Pursuant to paragraph 12(a) of the Bilateral Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Agreement of December 30, 1971, as amended, between the Governments of the United States and the Republic of China, and in accordance with the procedures of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, you are directed to amend, effective as soon as possible, the sublimit established in the aforesaid directive of September 26, 1973, for man-made fiber textile products in Category 224 as follows:

<i>Category</i>	<i>Twelve-Month Level of Restraint</i>
224	8,589,744 pounds (of which not more than 200,000 pounds shall be in T.S.U.S.A. Nos. 380.0420 and 380.8143, and not more than 600,000 pounds shall be in T.S.U.S.A. Nos. 380.0402 and 380.8103) <sup>2</sup>

The actions taken with respect to the Government of the Republic of China and with respect to imports of man-made fiber textile products from the Republic of China have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

SETH M. BODNER,  
*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation  
of Textile Agreements,  
and Deputy Assistant Secretary for  
Resources and Trade Assistance*

<sup>1</sup> The term "adjustment" refers to those provisions of the Bilateral Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Agreement of December 30, 1971, as amended, between the Governments of the United States and the Republic of China which provide, in part, that within the aggregate and applicable group limits, limits on certain categories may be exceeded by not more than 5 percent; for the limited carryover of shortfalls in certain categories to the next agreement year; for limited interfiber flexibility between cotton textiles and man-made fiber textile products of the comparable category; and for administrative arrangements.

<sup>2</sup> The amended sublimits have not been adjusted to reflect any entries made on or after October 1, 1973.

(T.D. 74-154)

*Foreign currencies—Daily rates for countries not on quarterly list*

Rates of exchange certified to the Secretary of the Treasury by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for the Hong Kong dollar, Iran rial, Philippine peso, Singapore dollar, Thailand baht (tial)

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
*Washington, D.C., May 9, 1974.*

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York, pursuant to section 522(c), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (31 U.S.C. 372(c)), has certified buying rates in U.S. dollars for the dates and foreign currencies shown below. These rates of exchange are published for the information and use of Customs officers and others concerned pursuant to Part 159, Subpart C, Customs Regulations (19 CFR, Part 159, Subpart C).

## Hong Kong dollar:

April 29, 1974	\$0.1975
April 30, 1974	.1970
May 1, 1974	.1975
May 2, 1974	.1970
May 3, 1974	.1975

## Iran rial:

For the period April 29 through May 3, 1974, rate of \$0.0149.

## Philippines peso:

April 29, 1974	\$0.1480
April 30, 1974	.1480
May 1, 1974	.1480
May 2, 1974	.1480
May 3, 1974	.1485

## Singapore dollar:

April 29, 1974	\$0.4140
April 30, 1974	.4165
May 1, 1974	.4165
May 2, 1974	.4145
May 3, 1974	.4145



Thailand baht (tical) :

For the period April 29 through May 3, 1974, rate of  
\$0.0495.

(LIQ-3-O:D:T:)

J. D. COLEMAN  
FOR R. N. MARRA,  
*Director,*

*Duty Assessment Division.*

(T.D. 74-155)

*Synopses of Drawback decisions*

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,  
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,  
*Washington, D.C., May 9, 1974.*

The following are synopses of drawback rates and amendments issued February 23, 1973, to April 22, 1974, inclusive, pursuant to sections 22.1 and 22.5, inclusive, Customs Regulations; and approval under section 22.6, Customs Regulations.

(DRA-1-00)

LEONARD LEHMAN,  
*Assistant Commissioner,  
Regulations and Rulings.*

(A) *Copper and copper alloy products.*—T.D.46212-F, as amended and extended by T.D.'s 50274-H, 50432-C, 55479-F, authorizing the allowance of drawback on the above mentioned items manufactured under section 1313(b) by Phelps Dodge Copper Products Corp., at its various factories, with the use of, among other things, refined copper, further *amended* to cover (1) the foregoing products manufactured by Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc., New York, N.Y., *successor*, and (2) the said products manufactured at the successor's additional factory located at El Paso, Tex.

Amendment effective on articles exported on and after December 31, 1971, the date of succession, in the case of (1) above; and on articles manufactured on and after September 1, 1972, and exported on and after September 12, 1972, in the case of (2) above.

Amendment issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., September 6, 1973.

(B) *Rubber sheeting, cured synthetic; and finished synthetic thread.*—Manufactured under section 1313(a) by Fulflex, Inc., Bristol, R.I., at its factories located at Bristol, R.I., and Scotland Neck, N.C., with the use of imported isoprene.

Rate effective on articles manufactured and exported on and after January 15, 1974.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Boston, Mass., March 15, 1974.

(C) *Extracts, flavoring.*—T.D.51233-E, as amended by T.D.'s 51379-A and 51538-K, covering medicinal preparations and flavoring extracts manufactured under section 1313(d) by McCormick & Co., Inc., Salinas, Calif., with the use of domestic tax-paid alcohol, further amended to cover Foremost #2 and Indasco #4 (vanilla extracts) manufactured under section 1313(d) with the use of domestic tax-paid alcohol.

Amendment effective on articles manufactured on and after October 1, 1970, and exported on and after November 1, 1970.

Supplemental statement of January 30, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, San Francisco, Calif., April 18, 1974.

(D) *Freon products.*—Manufactured under section 1313(a) by E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del., at its factories located at Antioch, Calif.; E. Chicago, Ind.; Louisville, Ky.; Montague, Mich.; Deepwater, N.J.; and Corpus Christi, Tex., with the use of imported fluorspar.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after February 12, 1973, and exported on and after March 12, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Baltimore, Md., November 21, 1973.

(E) *Gears, industrial.*—T.D.37886-C, as amended, covering among other things, electric motors, machines, cables, wire, apparatus, appliances, and parts thereof manufactured by General Electric Co., New York, N.Y., under section 1313 (a) and (b) at its various factories, with the use of copper, further amended to cover industrial gears manufactured under section 1313(a) by the company at its Lynn, Mass., factory, with the use of imported clutches.

Amendment effective on articles manufactured on and after July 1, 1971, and exported on and after September 1, 1972.

Amendment issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., January 29, 1974.

(F) *Golf spikes with inserted carbide tips.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by MacNeil Engineering Co., Inc., Waltham, Mass., with the use of imported tungsten carbide studs.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after November 19, 1973, and exported on and after December 7, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Boston, Mass., December 5, 1973.

(G) *Herbicides (Banavel<sup>®</sup>, Dicamba) and formulations thereof.*—Manufactured under section 1313(b) by Velsicol Chemical Corp., Chicago, Ill., at the corporation's Beaumont, Tex., factory, with the use of 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after March 1, 1974, and exported on and after April 1, 1974.

Manufacturer's drawback statement of March 15, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, Houston, Tex., April 15, 1974.

(H) *Hydroquinone.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (b) by Carus Corp., LaSalle, Ill., with the use of aniline oil.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after November 26, 1973, and exported on and after December 17, 1973.

Manufacturer's statements of February 15 and April 3, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioners of Customs, Chicago, Ill., and New York, N.Y., April 15, 1974.

(I) *Leucopure EGM (an optical brightener).*—T.D.72-116-L, covering leucopure EGM (an optical brightener) manufactured under section 1313(a) by Sandoz Colors and Chemicals, Hanover, N.J., at its Fair Lawn, N.J., factory, with the use of imported NAPOF, an organic chemical raw material, *amended* (1) to correct the name of the manufacturer to Sandoz Colors and Chemicals, a division of Sandoz-Wander, Inc.; (2) the foregoing articles manufactured at the said factory under section 1313 (a) by Sandoz Colors and Chemicals, a division of Sandoz-Wander, Inc., East Hanover, N.J., with the use of tobias acid and m-aminophenol imported as such or manufactured under drawback regulations; and (3) to cover the foregoing articles manufactured by the corporation at the said factory under section 1313 (b) with the use of tobias acid and m-aminophenol.

Amendment effective (1), above, on articles manufactured on and after January 1, 1971, and exported on and after January 25, 1971; and (2) and (3), above, on articles manufactured and exported on and after July 1, 1973.

Supplemental statements of February 5, March 11 and 12, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., April 22, 1974.

(J) *Machines, chemical etching, and systems thereof and attendant equipment.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by Chemcut Corp., State College, Pa., with the use of imported polyvinyl chloride sheets, rods, blocks, and bar stock.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after February 1, 1971, and exported on and after April 30, 1971.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Baltimore, Md., July 10, 1973.

(K) *Office furniture and equipment and parts thereof.*—Manufactured under section 1313(b) by Cole Div., Litton Business Systems, Inc., York, Pa., with the use of cold rolled steel sheet in coil.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after May 5, 1972, and exported on and after April 25, 1973.

Manufacturer's drawback statements of February 21 and April 5, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, Baltimore, Md., April 15, 1974.

(L) *Paint and paint products.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (b) by Pur-All Paint Products Co., Inc., Carlstadt, N.J., with the use of titanium dioxide.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after June 1, 1973, and exported on and after December 21, 1973.

Manufacturer's drawback statement of March 25, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., April 12, 1974.

(M) *Phosphors, rare earth (for color television).*—Manufactured under section 1313(a) by United States Radium Corp., Morristown, N.J., at its factory located at Beattystown, N.J., with the use of imported yttrium oxide, europium oxide, and gadolinium oxide.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after May 1, 1973, and exported on and after September 27, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., March 7, 1974.

(N) *Pianos, grand and upright.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by Kimball Piano and Organ Co., Inc., West Baden, Ind., with the use of imported piano keyboards, actions, and hammers.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after July 1, 1973, and exported on and after November 1, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., December 7, 1973.

(O) *Piece goods, bleached, dyed and/or printed; and redyed or stripped and redyed.*—T.D. 44469-U, as amended and extended, covering the foregoing articles manufactured under section 1313(a) by United Piece Dye Works, New York, N.Y., at its Lodi, N.J., and North Charleston, S.C., factories, with the use of piece goods imported in the grey or woven under drawback regulations, further amended to cover such articles manufactured by the company at its additional factory located at Bluefield, Va.

Amendment effective on articles manufactured on and after January 2, 1973, and exported on and after January 8, 1973.

Amendment issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., August 20, 1973.

(P) *Printed woodgrain paper.*—T.D. 69-132-K, covering printed woodgrain paper manufactured under section 1313(a) by Decotone Products, Inc., Division of Litton Industries, Westminister, Mass., with the use of imported coated newsprint paper, amended to cover the said articles manufactured by Decotone Division, Litton Business Systems, Inc., successor.

Amendment effective on articles exported on and after August 3, 1969, date of succession.

Amendment issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Boston, Mass., February 23, 1973.

(Q) *Rubber compound (custom mixed rubber).*—Manufactured under section 1313(a) by Midwest Rubber Reclaiming Co., E. St. Louis, Ill., at its factory located at Barberton, Ohio, with the use of imported styrene butadiene rubber (SBR) 1712; taktene 1252 or CB-441; N 103 carbon black; parafflux 4945, or sundex 7260; rubber grade stearic acid; zinc oxide; agerite resin D; and, santoflex AW.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after November 5, 1973, and exported on and after November 6, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., December 26, 1973.

(R) *Spark plugs.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (b) by the Prestolite Co., Division of Eltra Corp., Toledo, Ohio, at the company's Decatur, Ala., factory, with the use of ceramic insulator bisques, steel shell blanks, steel shell assemblies, and steel terminal studs.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after January 1, 1963, and exported on and after August 22, 1973.

Manufacturer's drawback statement of February 25, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, New Orleans, La., April 15, 1974.

(S) *Spark plugs for internal combustion engines.*—T.D. 73-164-Q, covering spark plugs for internal combustion engines manufactured under section 1313 (b) by Champion Spark Plug Co., Toledo, Ohio, with the use of hot rolled steel bar, *amended* to cover the foregoing articles manufactured by the company under section 1313 (b) with the use of cold drawn steel bars.

Amendment effective on articles manufactured and exported on and after December 17, 1970.

Manufacturer's supplemental statement of March 29, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, Chicago, Ill., April 12, 1974.

(T) *Sodium cephalixin.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (b) by Bristol Laboratories, Div. of Bristol-Myers Co., E. Syracuse, N.Y., at its E. Syracuse, N.Y., and Barceloneta, P.R., factories, with the use of 4-pyridylmercapteacetyl chloride hydrochloride.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after July 1, 1973, and exported on and after August 1, 1973.

Manufacturer's statement of April 3, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., April 19, 1974.

(U) *Tobacco, pipe.*—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by Allied Products Div., Consolidated Cigar Corp., Richmond, Va., with the use of imported flue cured and burley tobacco.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after September 1, 1973, and exported on and after October 1, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Baltimore, Md., February 27, 1974.

(V) *Tractors, agricultural and industrial; other agricultural machines, parts, and equipment.*—T.D. 44069-G, as extended by T.D. 44496-B, and amended by T.D.'s 54194-D and 72-116-Z, covering, among other things, tractors manufactured by J. I. Case Co., Racine, Wis., under section 1313 (a), at its various factories, with the use of imported side shift backhoe parts, diesel engines, fuel pumps, and injectors; and agricultural and industrial tractors, and other agricultural machines, parts, and equipment manufactured under section 1313 (b) by the company at its various factories, with the use of steel plate, sheet, bars, and rods; structural pipe, tubing, angles, channels; and other steel track chain assemblies, further *amended* to cover all such articles manufactured under sections 1313 (a) and (b) by J. I. Case Co., Racine, Wis., (Del. Corp.), *successor*.

Amendment effective on articles exported on and after August 4, 1970, the date of succession.



Amendment issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, Chicago, Ill., March 30, 1973.

(W) *Typewriters, electric*.—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by Olivetti Corp. of America, New York, N.Y., at its Harrisburg, Pa., factory, with the use of imported typewriter parts.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after August 1, 1973, and exported on and after August 15, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., December 26, 1973.

(X) *Vehicles, special purpose*.—Manufactured under section 1313 (a) by Consolidated Diesel Electric Co., Div. of Condec Corp., Old Greenwich, Conn., at its Charlotte, N.C., factory, with the use of imported automotive differentials, drive shafts, steering gear boxes, and wheels.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after April 15, 1970, and exported on and after April 21, 1972.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., November 26, 1973.

(Y) *Vinyl chloride monomer*.—Manufactured under section 1313 (b) by Continental Oil Company, Saddle Brook, N.J., at its Westlake, La., factory, with the use of ethylene dichloride.

Rate effective on articles manufactured and exported on and after April 1, 1974.

Filing of supplemental schedules authorized.

Manufacturer's drawback statement of March 28, 1974, forwarded to Regional Commissioners of Customs, New Orleans, La., and Houston, Tex., April 5, 1974.

(Z) *Watches, wrist*.—Manufactured under section 1313(a) by Teltme Service Department, Spring Valley, N.Y., with the use of imported watch movements; watch heads; chrome, bronze, brass or steel cases and backs; and, metal, leather, or plastic straps and bracelets.

Rate effective on articles manufactured on and after February 10, 1972, and exported on and after May 3, 1973.

Rate issued by Regional Commissioner of Customs, New York, N.Y., December 26, 1973.

Approval under section 22.6, Customs Regulations

(1) *Petroleum products.*—Manufactured under section 1313(b) Yabucoa Sun Oil Co., Yabucoa, P.R., with the use of crude petroleum or petroleum derivatives.

Approval effective on articles manufactured and exported on and after July 8, 1971.

Manufacturer's statements of June 27 and December 20, 1973, forwarded to Regional Commissioner of Customs, Miami, Fla., April 12, 1974.



# Decisions of the United States Customs Court

United States Customs Court

One Federal Plaza  
New York, N.Y. 10007

*Chief Judge*

Nils A. Boe

*Judges*

Paul P. Rao  
Morgan Ford  
Scovel Richardson  
Frederick Landis

James L. Watson  
Herbert N. Maletz  
Bernard Newman  
Edward D. Re

*Senior Judges*

Charles D. Lawrence  
David J. Wilson  
Mary D. Alger  
Samuel M. Rosenstein

*Clerk*

Joseph E. Lombardi

---

## *Customs Decisions*

(C.D. 4534)

AMTHOR IMPORTS v. UNITED STATES

*Dessert, bar and salad sets*

Court No. 66/207

Port of San Francisco

[Judgment for plaintiff.]

(Decided April 29, 1974)

*Glad & Tuttle* (John McDougall of counsel) for the plaintiff.

*Carla A. Hills*, Assistant Attorney General (*James Caffenetzis*, trial attorney),  
for the defendant.

RICHARDSON, Judge: The merchandise at bar, consisting of dessert,  
bar, and salad sets, was classified in liquidation under items 651.75,

650.21, 650.40, and 650.49, TSUS, at the duty rates of 23.31, 25.81, 18.94, and 27.8 *per centum ad valorem*. It is claimed by the plaintiff-importer that the merchandise should be classified as sets under items 651.75 and 650.21, 650.40, or 650.49, TSUS, at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem*.

In its complaint plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the subject merchandise is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of *Import Associates of America, Fraser's Inc. v. United States*, 56 CCPA 100, C.A.D. 961 (1969), and further, requests that judgment issue directing the district director to reliquidate the involved entry or entries under items 651.75 and 650.21, 650.40 or 650.49, TSUS, in accordance with its claim. In its answer the defendant admits all of the allegations of the complaint, and consents to the entry of judgment overruling the manner of assessment of duty by the district director and sustaining plaintiff's claim as to the dessert, bar, and salad sets.

In the case cited in the complaint the merchandise consisted of flatware sets of various kinds of knives, forks, and spoons imported from West Germany and Japan, classified in liquidation under item 651.75, TSUS, among other things, and assessed with duty at the *ad valorem* equivalent of the highest specific or compound rate applicable to any article in the set. The Customs Court sustained the protest lodged against the duty assessment, and held that the specific or compound rate of duty which is the highest for any article in the set if imported alone should be used in determining the duty and not the *ad valorem* equivalent, and further, that the applicable specific duty should be assessed against each article in the set. This latter holding of the Customs Court was sustained in the cited case on appeal to the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals as against the importer's contention in the appellate court that the specific duty assessment should be made against the set rather than against each article in the set.

In the instant case defendant admits that the merchandise at bar is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of the cited case. Consequently, inasmuch as the pleadings fail to raise any triable issue in the case the necessity for further proceedings in this action is obviated.

Plaintiff's claim for classification of the subject merchandise under items 651.75 and 650.21, 650.40 or 650.49, TSUS, as sets at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem* is sustained. Judgment will be entered herein accordingly.

(C.D. 4535)

AMTHOR IMPORTS v. UNITED STATES

*Flatware sets*

Court No. 66/2249

Port of San Francisco

[Judgment for plaintiff.]

(Decided April 29, 1974)

*Glad & Tuttle (John McDougall of counsel) for the plaintiff.**Carla A. Hills, Assistant Attorney General (James Caffentzis, trial attorney), for the defendant.*

RICHARDSON, Judge: The merchandise at bar, consisting of flatware sets, was classified in liquidation under items 651.75 and 927.50, TSUS, at the duty rate of 31.5 *per centum ad valorem*. It is claimed by the plaintiff-importer that the merchandise should be classified as sets under items 651.75 and 927.50, TSUS, at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 12.5 *per centum ad valorem*.

In its complaint plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the subject merchandise is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of *Import Associates of America, Fraser's Inc. v. United States*, 56 CCPA 100, C.A.D. 961 (1969), and further requests that judgment issue directing the district director to reliquidate the involved entry or entries under items 651.75 and 927.50, TSUS, in accordance with its claim. In its answer the defendant admits all of the allegations of the complaint, and consents to the entry of judgment overruling the manner of assessment of duty by the district director and sustaining plaintiff's claim as to the flatware sets.

In the case cited in the complaint the merchandise consisted of flatware sets of various kinds of knives, forks, and spoons imported from West Germany and Japan, classified in liquidation under item 651.75, TSUS, among other things, and assessed with duty at the *ad valorem* equivalent of the highest specific or compound rate applicable to any article in the set. The Customs Court sustained the protest lodged against the duty assessment, and held that the specific or compound rate of duty which is the highest for any article in the set if imported alone should be used in determining the duty and not the *ad valorem* equivalent, and further, that the applicable specific duty should be assessed against each article in the set. This latter holding of the Customs Court was sustained in the cited case on appeal to the Court

of Customs and Patent Appeals as against the importer's contention in the appellate court that the specific duty assessment should be made against the set rather than against each article in the set.

In the instant case defendant admits that the merchandise at bar is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of the cited case. Consequently, inasmuch as the pleadings fail to raise any triable issue in the case the necessity for further proceedings in this action is obviated.

Plaintiff's claim for classification of the subject merchandise under items 651.75 and 927.50, TSUS, as sets at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 12.5 *per centum ad valorem* is sustained. Judgment will be entered herein accordingly.

---

(C.D. 4536)

AMTHOR IMPORTS v. UNITED STATES

*Dessert and bar sets*

Court No. 66/75753

Port of San Francisco

[Judgment for plaintiff.]

(Decided April 29, 1974)

*Glad & Tuttle* (John McDougall of counsel) for the plaintiff.

*Carla A. Hills*, Assistant Attorney General (*James Caffentzis*, trial attorney), for the defendant.

RICHARDSON, Judge: The merchandise at bar, consisting of dessert and bar sets, was classified in liquidation under items 651.75, 650.49 and 650.21, TSUS, at the duty rates of 22.34, 24.96, and 27.17 *per centum ad valorem*. It is claimed by the plaintiff-importer that the merchandise should be classified as sets under items 651.75 and 650.49 or 650.21, TSUS, at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem*.

In its complaint plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the subject merchandise is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of *Import Associates of America, Fraser's Inc. v. United States*, 56 CCPA 100, C.A.D. 961 (1969), and further, requests that judgment issue directing the district director to reliquidate the involved entry or entries under items 651.75 and 650.49 or 650.21, TSUS, in accordance with its claim. In its answer the defendant admits all of the material allegations of the complaint, and consents to the entry of judgment overruling the manner of assessment of duty

by the district director and sustaining plaintiff's claim as to the dessert and bar sets.

In the case cited in the complaint the merchandise consisted of flatware sets of various kinds of knives, forks, and spoons imported from West Germany and Japan, classified in liquidation under item 651.75, TSUS, among other things, and assessed with duty at the *ad valorem* equivalent of the highest specific or compound rate applicable to any article in the set. The Customs Court sustained the protest lodged against the duty assessment, and held that the specific or compound rate of duty which is the highest for any article in the set if imported alone should be used in determining the duty and not the *ad valorem* equivalent, and further, that the applicable specific duty should be assessed against each article in the set. This latter holding of the Customs Court was sustained in the cited case on appeal to the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals as against the importer's contention in the appellate court that the specific duty assessment should be made against the set rather than against each article in the set.

In the instant case defendant admits that the merchandise at bar is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of the cited case. Consequently, inasmuch as the pleadings fail to raise any triable issue in the case the necessity for further proceedings in this action is obviated.

Plaintiff's claim for classification of the subject merchandise under items 651.75 and 650.49 or 650.21, TSUS, as sets at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem* is sustained. Judgment will be entered herein accordingly.

---

(C.D. 4537)

AMTHOR IMPORTS v. UNITED STATES

*Dessert and bar sets*

Court No. 68/6610

Port of San Francisco

[Judgment for plaintiff.]

(Decided April 29, 1974)

*Glad & Tuttle* (John McDougall of counsel) for the plaintiff.

*Carla A. Hills*, Assistant Attorney General (*James Caffentzis*, trial attorney), for the defendant.

RICHARDSON, Judge: The merchandise at bar, consisting of dessert and bar sets, was classified in liquidation under items 651.75 and

650.49, TSUS, at the duty rates of 22.34 and 26.92 *per centum ad valorem*. It is claimed by the plaintiff-importer that the merchandise should be classified as sets under items 651.75 and 650.49, TSUS, as the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem*.

In its complaint plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the subject merchandise is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of *Import Associates of America, Fraser's Inc. v. United States*, 56 CCPA 100, C.A.D. 961 (1969), and further, requests that judgment issue directing the district director to reliquidate the involved entry or entries under items 651.75 and 650.49, TSUS, in accordance with its claim. In its answer the defendant admits all of the allegations of the complaint, and consents to the entry of judgment overruling the manner of assessment of duty by the district director and sustaining plaintiff's claim as to the dessert and bar sets.

In the case cited in the complaint the merchandise consisted of flatware sets of various kinds of knives, forks, and spoons imported from West Germany and Japan, classified in liquidation under item 651.75, TSUS, among other things, and assessed with duty at the *ad valorem* equivalent of the highest specific or compound rate applicable to any article in the set. The Customs Court sustained the protest lodged against the duty assessment, and held that the specific or compound rate of duty which is the highest for any article in the set if imported alone should be used in determining the duty and not the *ad valorem* equivalent, and further, that the applicable specific duty should be assessed against each article in the set. This latter holding of the Customs Court was sustained in the cited case on appeal to the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals as against the importer's contention in the appellate court that the specific duty assessment should be made against the set rather than against each article in the set.

In the instant case defendant admits that the merchandise at bar is similar in all material respects to the merchandise the subject of the cited case. Consequently, inasmuch as the pleadings fail to raise any triable issue in the case the necessity for further proceedings in this action is obviated.

Plaintiff's claim for classification of the subject merchandise under items 651.75 and 650.49, TSUS, as sets at the duty rate of 1 cent each plus 17.5 *per centum ad valorem* is sustained. Judgment will be entered herein accordingly.

# Decisions of the United States Customs Court

## *Abstracts Abstracted Protest Decisions*

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, May 6, 1974.

The following abstracts of decisions of the United States Customs Court at New York are published for the information and guidance of officers of the customs and others concerned. Although the decisions are not of sufficient general interest to print in full, the summary herein given will be of assistance to customs officials in easily locating cases and tracing important facts.

VERNON D. ACREE,  
*Commissioner of Customs.*



## CUSTOMS COURT

DECISION NUMBER	JUDGE & DATE OF DECISION	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED Par. or Item No. and Rate	HELD Par. or Item No. and Rate	BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY AND MERCHANDISE
P74/269	Ford, J. May 1, 1974	Marubeni Iida (American), Inc., et al.	63/19022, etc.	Par. 1031 or 1031/ 1050(a) 20% (cases)	Par. 353 12 1/2% (as en- tireties with radios) No appraised val- ue found for entirety (radios and cases); merchandise not appraised and liquidated according to law; liquida- tions void; actions dis- missed as pre- mature; entries returned to dis- trict director for appropriate administrative action	Judgment on the pleadings Lafayette Radio Elec- tronics Corp. v. U. S. (C.A.D. 977)	San Francisco Radio cases imported with radios



F74/270	Ford, J. May 1, 1974	Nomura (America) Corp..	64/6747, etc..	<p>Par. 333 15% (ear- phones) Par. 1331 or 1331/ 1550(a) 20% (cases)</p>	<p>Par. 333 13½% or 12½% £ (earphones) Radio cases duti- able as entire- ties with radios with which im- ported; no ap- praised value found for ear- phones; mag- netic; not chandise not appraised and liquidated ac- cording to law; liquidations void; actions dismissed as premature; en- tries returned to regional commissioner for appropriate administrative action</p>	<p>Judgment on the pleadings Midland International Cor- poration v. U.S. (C.D. 3217); North American Foreign Trading Corp. v. U.S. (C.D. 3963) (ear- phones) Lafayette Radio Electronics Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 977) (radio cases)</p>	<p>New York Earphones (electrical arti- cles) Cases imported with radios (entireties)</p>
---------	-------------------------	-------------------------	----------------	--	---	---	---

DECISION NUMBER	JUDGE & DATE OF DECISION	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED		HELD		BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY AND MERCHANDISE
				Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate		
F74/271	Ford, J. May 1, 1974	North American Foreign Trading Corp. et al.	65/18420, etc.	Par 1531 or 1531/ 1809(a) 20% (cases)	Par. 353 12 1/2% (as en- tireties with radios) No appraised value found for entirety (radios and cases); mer- chandise not ap- praised and liquidated according to law; liquida- tions void; ac- tions dismissed as premature; entries returned to district director for appropriate administrative action			Judgment on the pleadings Lafayette Radio Electronics Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 977)	New York Radio cases imported with radios

P74/272	Ford, J. May 1, 1974	Oriental Exporters, Inc., et al.	65/2944, etc.	Par. 1331 or 1331/139(a) 20% (cases)	<p>Par. 333 12½% (as entireties with radios) No appraisal value found for entirety (radios and cases); mar- chandises not appraised and liquidated ac- cording to law; liquidations void; actions dismissed as premature; en- tries returned to regional commissioner for appropriate administrative action</p>	Judgment on the pleadings Lafayette Radio Electronics Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 977)	New York Radio cases imported with radios
---------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------	--	---	---	---

## CUSTOMS COURT

DECISION NUMBER	JUDGE & DATE OF DECISION	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED		HELD		BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY AND MERCHANDISE
				Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate		
P74/273	Ford, J. May 1, 1974	Transamerican Import & Export, Inc.	66/45198	Par. 1531 or 1531/ 1559(a) 20% (cases)	Par. 353 12½% (as en- tireties with radios)  No appraised val- ue found for en- tirety (radios and cases); mer- chandise not appraised and liquidated ac- cording to law; liquidations void; action dismissed as premature; en- tries returned to district director for appropriate administrative action	Par. 353 12½% (as en- tireties with radios)  No appraised val- ue found for en- tirety (radios and cases); mer- chandise not appraised and liquidated ac- cording to law; liquidations void; action dismissed as premature; en- tries returned to district director for appropriate administrative action	Judgment on the pleadings Ladayette Radio Electronics Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 977)	Chicago Radio cases imported with radios	

P7 7274	Richardson, J. May 1, 1974	The Baylis Brothers Co.	71-9-01221	Item \$62.04 42.5%	Item \$97.00 Upon full value of imported article (smocked dress fronts) less cost or value of American components (fabric pieces of dress fronts) Dutiable values of dress fronts are entered values, less values for fabricated components of U.S. assembled abroad into the dress fronts at such values as set forth on invoices under heading "Value of Material and Thread", plus 15¢ per doz. dress fronts	U.S. v. The Baylis Brothers Co. (C.A.D. 1026)	San Juan American goods returned; smocked dress fronts
P74275	Richardson, J. May 1, 1974	Verona Dyestuffs Division Verona-Pharma Chem- ical Corp.	61/10140	Item 405.50 46%	Item 405.25 18% plus 2.5¢ per lb.	Judgment on the pleadings Verona Dyestuffs Div. of Verona-Pharma Chemical Corp. v. U.S. (C.D. 959)	New York Urethane Black Paste AU

DECISION NUMBER	JUDGE & DATE OF DECISION	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED		HELD		BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY AND MERCHANDISE
				Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate		
P74/276	Maletz, J. May 1, 1974	Dynasty of Hong Kong, Ltd.	69/4594, etc.	Item 382.05 38% (Items marked "A") Item 382.04 42.5% (Items marked "B")	Item 382.81 27.5% plus 254 per lb. (Items marked "A" or "B")			Agreed statement of facts	New York Quilted robes of man-made fibers
P74/277	Ford, J. May 2, 1974	Chord New York, Inc., et al.	60/12266, etc.	Par. 343 16% (earphones) Par. 131 or 131/1569(a) 20% (cases)	Par. 353 13 1/2%, 12 1/2% or 11 1/2% (earphones) Radio cases dutiable as accessories with which imported; no appraised value found for entirely; merchandise not appraised and liquidated according to law; liquidations void; actions dismissed as premature; entries returned to regional commissioner for appropriate administrative action			Judgment on the pleadings Midland International Corporation v. U.S. (C.D. 3217); North American Foreign Trading Corp. v. U.S. (C.D. 3962) (earphones) Lafayette Radio Electronics Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 977) (radio cases)	New York Earphones (electrical articles) Cases imported with radios (antiretires)

P74/278	Richardson, J. May 2, 1974	General Instrument Corporation	71/167	Item 867.60 11%	Item 807.00 Cost or value of American fabricated components (test lead assembly, glass case assembly, gold whisker form, solder preform, germanium wafer, and tin anodes) to be deducted from appraised value of imported diodes	Judgment on the pleadings General Instrument Corporation v. U.S. (C.A.D.'s 1082 and 1106; C.D.'s 4408 and 4422)	New York American goods returned; diodes
P74/279	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	65/14144, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	Houston Shell Alexia Oil A
P74/280	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	65/14223, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	New Orleans Shell Alexia Oil A
P74/281	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	65/19690, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	Philadelphia Shell Alexia Oil A
P74/282	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	65/25993, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	Jacksonville (Tampa) Shell Alexia Oil A
P74/283	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	66/4548, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	Norfolk Shell Alexia Oil A
P74/284	Landis, J. May 2, 1974	Asiatic Petroleum Corp.	67/84358, etc.	Par. 1558 10%	Par. 1723 Free of duty	Asiatic Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1029)	Baltimore Shell Alexia Oil A

## CUSTOMS COURT

DECISION NUMBER	JUDGE & DATE OF DECISION	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED		HELD		BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY AND MERCHANDISE
				Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate	Par. or Item No. and Rate		
P74/285	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	Decorative Creations & Art Flowers Co. et al.	67/40281, etc.	Item 748.20 28%	Item 774.00 17%			Armbee Corporation et al. v. U.S. (C.D. 3278) Zunold Trading Corpora- tion et al. v. U.S. (C.D. 3279) First American Artificial Flowers, Inc. v. U.S. (C.D. 4188) Joseph Markovits, Inc. v. U.S. (C.D. 4366)	New York Artificial flowers, etc.
P74/286	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	North American Phillips Co., Inc.	60/24055, etc.	Par. 307 20% or 19%	Fur. 333 134%			R.J. Saunders & Co., Inc. v. U.S. (C.D. 4357)	San Francisco-Oakland Shaver cases
P74/287	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	Queen City Wreath Co.	68/38149	Item 748.20 28%	Item 774.00 17%			Joseph Markovits, Inc. v. U.S. (C.D. 4366)	Cleveland Artificial flowers, etc.
P74/288	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	Rice Bayersdorfer Co.	67/6413-S, etc.	Item 748.20 28%	Item 774.00 17%			Armbee Corporation et al. v. U.S. (C.D. 3278) Zunold Trading Corpora- tion et al. v. U.S. (C.D. 3279)	Philadelphia Artificial flowers, etc.



F74/289	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	E.J. Saunders & Co., Inc.	63/4130	Par. 267 19%	Par. 33 12 3/4%	R.J. Saunders & Co., Inc. v. U.S. (C.D. 4387)	New York Shaver cases
F74/290	Watson, J. May 2, 1974	Verona Dyestuffs Division Verona-Pharma Chemical Corp.	67/28336, etc.	Item 493.00 48% plus 7 1/2 per lb. or 40% plus 6.3¢ per lb.	Item 405.25 18% plus 2.84 per lb. or 10% plus 2.5¢ per lb.	Judgment on the pleadings Verona Dyestuffs Div. of Verona-Pharma Chemical Corp. v. U.S. (C.D. 4969)	New York Urethane Black Paste A U and Urethane White Paste U
F74/291	Maletz, J. May 2, 1974	S.G.B. Epic Co., Inc.	73-7-01064	Item 657.20 9.5%	Item 664.10 5%	Agreed statement of facts	New York Vertical steel shores
F74/292	Maletz, J. May 2, 1974	Verrazano Trading Corp.	72-8-01708	Item 332.40 18%	Duty should not have been as- sessed on cer- tain cartons of fabric reported as "manifested, not found"	Agreed statement of facts	New York Shortage of cartons of fabric
F74/293	Re, J. May 2, 1974	Venetianaire Corp. of America	72-8-01801	Item 772.15 10% or 8.5%	Item 772.35 7% or 6%	Venetianaire Corp. of Amer- ica v. U.S. (C.A.D. 1064)	New York Mattress and pillow covers

# Tariff Commission Notice

*Investigations by the United States Tariff Commission*

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, May 16, 1974.

The appended notice relating to investigations by the United States Tariff Commission is published for the information of Customs Officers and others concerned.

VERNON D. ACREE,  
*Commissioner of Customs.*

[TEA-W-234]

WORKERS' PETITION FOR A DETERMINATION UNDER SECTION 301(c)(2) OF THE  
TRADE EXPANSION ACT OF 1962

## *Notice of investigation*

On the basis of a petition filed under section 301(a)(2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Woodbridge, New Jersey, plant of the RCA Corp., New York, New York, the United States Tariff Commission, on May 8, 1974, instituted an investigation under section 301(c)(2) of the Act to determine whether, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements, articles like or directly competitive with electronic receiving tubes and components thereof known as mounts (of the types provided for in item 687.60 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) produced by said firm are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause, or threaten to cause, the unemployment or underemployment of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof.

The optional public hearing afforded by law has not been requested by the petitioners. Any other party showing a proper interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a hearing, provided such request is filed within 10 days after the notice is published in the *Federal Register*.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, 8th and

E Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the New York City office of the Tariff Commission located in Room 437 of the Customhouse.

By order of the Commission:

KENNETH R. MASON,  
*Secretary.*

Issued May 8, 1974.

# Index

## U.S. Customs Service

### Drawback decisions:

Approvals by Customs officers under section 22.6, Customs Regulations:

Petroleum products:	T.D. No.
Yabucoa Sun Oil Co.....	74-155
Copper and copper alloy products.....	74-155
Extracts, flavoring.....	74-155
Freon products.....	74-155
Gears, industrial.....	74-155
Golf spikes with inserted carbide tips.....	74-155
Herbicides (Banavel®, Dicamba) and formulations thereof.....	74-155
Hydroquinone.....	74-155
Leucopure EGM (an optical brightener).....	74-155
Machines, chemical etching, and systems thereof and attendant equipment.....	74-155
Office furniture and equipment and parts thereof.....	74-155
Paint and paint products.....	74-155
Phosphors, rare earth (for color television).....	74-155
Pianos, grand and upright.....	74-155
Piece goods, bleached, dyed and/or printed; and redyed or stripped and redyed.....	74-155
Printed woodgrain paper.....	74-155
Rubber compound (custom mixed rubber).....	74-155
Rubber sheeting, cured synthetic; and finished synthetic thread.....	74-155
Spark plugs.....	74-155
Spark plugs for internal combustion engines.....	74-155
Sodium cephalosporin.....	74-155
Tobacco, pipe.....	74-155
Tractors, agricultural and industrial; other agricultural machines, parts, and equipment.....	74-155
Typewriters, electric.....	74-155
Vehicles, special purpose.....	74-155
Vinyl chloride monomer.....	74-155
Watches, wrist.....	74-155

### Foreign currencies, conversion of:

Daily rates listed by country:

Hong Kong dollar:

April 22 through 26, 1974.....	74-151
April 29 through May 3, 1974.....	74-154

Foreign currencies, conversion of—Continued  
Daily rates listed by country—Continued

Iran rial:	T.D. No.
April 22 through 26, 1974.....	74-151
April 29 through May 3, 1974.....	74-154
Philippines peso:	
April 22 through 26, 1974.....	74-151
April 29 through May 3, 1974.....	74-154
Portugal escudo:	
April 30 through May 3, 1974.....	74-150
Singapore dollar:	
April 22 through 26, 1974.....	74-151
April 29 through May 3, 1974.....	74-154
Thailand baht (tical):	
April 22 through 26, 1974.....	74-151
April 29 through May 3, 1974.....	74-154

Manmade fiber textiles, restrictions on entry:

Republic of China; category 224.....	74-153
--------------------------------------	--------

Ports of entry; sec. 1.2(c) C.R. amended:

South Haven, Michigan, in Detroit Michigan Customs district (Reg. IX), designation revoked.....	74-152
---	--------

## Customs Court

Consent to entry of judgment; issue, no triable, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

Construction; Tariff Schedules of the United States:

- Item 650.21, C.D.'s 4534, 4536
- Item 650.40, C.D. 4534
- Item 650.49, C.D.'s 4534, 4536, 4537
- Item 651.75, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537
- Item 927.50, C.D. 4535

Dessert:

- And bar sets; sets, C.D.'s 4536, 4537
- Bar, and salad sets; sets, C.D. 4534

Flatware sets; sets, C.D. 4535

Issue, no triable; consent to entry of judgment, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

Judgment, consent to entry of; triable issue, no, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

Pleadings; triable issue, no, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

Sets:

    Dessert:

- And bar sets, C.D.'s 4536, 4537
- Bar, and salad sets, C.D. 4534
- Flatware sets, C.D. 4535

Triable issue, no :

Judgment, consent to entry of, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

Pleadings, C.D.'s 4534, 4535, 4536, 4537

## Tariff Commission Notice

Certain electronic receiving tubes and mounts; complaint on behalf of workers of RCA Corp., Woodbridge, N.J.; notice of investigation; p. 32.



POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE  
NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES  
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
1967 O-340-000  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20540  
OFFICIAL BUSINESS



UNITED STATES  
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID  
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
375



CB UNIVE300UD R 1  
UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS  
J REED SUPR PERIODICALS  
300 N ZEEB RD  
ANN ARBOR MI 48106



